



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/049,438

05/30/2002

Takahiro Nakajima

11197/7

3695

23838

7590

08/01/2006

KENYON & KENYON LLP

1500 K STREET N.W.

SUITE 700

WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

LEE, RIP A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1713

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/049,438	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NAKAJIMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Rip A. Lee	<b>Art Unit</b> 1713	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Rip A. Lee. (3) King L. Wong.  
 (2) Mr. Gyobu, Shibano, Masui, and Tsujii. (4) David Wu.

Date of Interview: 26 July 2006.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: all claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ridland, Jackson.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



DAVID W. WU  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants have presented a series of experiments comparing the inventive catalysts and those of the prior art. Salient features are the polycondensation time and intrinsic viscosity of the resulting polymer. The data appear sufficiently cogent to support unobviousness with respect to the aluminum carboxylates, aluminum chelates, and aluminum salts of inorganic acid. There remains the issue whether the results are commensurate in scope with the claims; that is, Applicants may submit further support to show that similar unexpected results may be expected for the remaining aluminum species in the instant claims..